SINGLE BENCH 3

(1)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1783 / 2006.

PETITIONER : **T. Kameshwar Rao**
S/o Late Shri. Ganesh
Aged around 63 years,
Ex. BSP employee.
Residing at : MIG-I/993
Hudco Sector, Aamdinagar
BHILAI - 490001
Tehsil and Dist. : Durg (CG).

P.R. No. 1837/06
Presented by Shri B.P. Rao
dated 4/4/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India,
Through : The Secretary,
Ministry of Steel and Mines,
Government of India,
192- Udyog Bhawan,
NEW DELHI – 110011.

2. The Managing Director (SAIL),
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI - 490001**
District : Durg (CG).

3. The Sr. Manager(P)
Recruitment Section
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

R/S Bilaspur 4/4/06
for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY MANDAMUS ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... wp 1783/06 ..... **सन् 200**

29

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18-4-2006 Shri B.P. Rao, Cousnel for the petitioner. Miss Sharmila Singhai, Standing counsel for the Union of India/ respondent No.1. Shri P. Diwakar, Sr. Advocate with Shri Anoop Mazumdar, Advocate are also present in Court. Copy of the petition with annexures be supplied to them for respondents No. 2 and 3. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present the petitioner may be directed to make a representation before the concerned competent authorities and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided. Not opposed. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri		

24.4.06